ODE ITC Conference Call – 7/11/2022
Notes provided by Naja Bailey (META)

FY22S Trad and SOES Enrollment collections are closing this Wednesday along with some other related collections for the Local Report Card (LRC). Please make sure everybody submits and finishes. They have up until midnight Wednesday to submit but there is limited support if they wait until Wednesday evening and then have issues after hours. ODE will work to get that data processed and updated for the LRC as soon as they can. Then we'll head into the appeal windows for that data.

FY23S SCR collection is now open. In the next week or so ODE will begin doing enrollment checks for FY23 to see the impact of students that have been submitted.

FY23C Initial Calendar collection is also open, so please encourage districts to submit their Initial calendars soon.

FY23S Retention/Promotion collection is scheduled to open this Thursday. Only last year's 3rd graders are required to be reported in this collection, and this will only be open for a very short time.

College Credit Plus (CCP) payments – ODE is pulling data this week for the final **FY21 CCP** payment. Flagging is already closed, and they've been working through overrides. If districts get a call from Area Coordinators to figure out final escalation requests, please ask districts get back to them as soon as they can. ODE is down to just a handful of district deductions and payments to the colleges that need to be handled, and the Area Coordinators will be working through those. They will be closing out FY21 at the end of this week. Hopefully almost everything is resolved for **FY22 CCP**. Area Coordinators have been trying to keep up with those escalations as they occurred. They will pull data at the end of the week for the FY22 CCP payment as well. The plan is to wrap up FY22 with the January payment, so if there are still issues to review or resolve for FY22 CCP courses, they will need to do that in the fall in order to close those payments out in January, now that ODE has things running pretty smoothly.

Q&A

Q: On the CTAC-001 report, the latest OCTCA scores are not included. Is that a report or local issue?

A: It is an issue on our end; we are just getting the average scores we need to include the CCP and Industry Credential substitutes into those calculations. Those should be loaded today. Hopefully by the end of the week you'll start seeing those scores included in that report.

Q: Is PEBT is going to continue for FY23?

A: I know it is there for this summer, but I don't know that it will continue beyond this summer. There will no longer be universal free lunch for all kids, they will have to qualify either by Direct Certification or by submitting a lunch application. There is talk of legislative changes or something happening at the

Federal level. I don't know if those are going to happen or if they have already happened. I would just look for EdConnect newsletters and other official communications that come out.

Q: Is there any additional information for the new Exiting High School Follow-up collection?

A: We are actively working on the business rules and construction of the lists of which students are included. We are still planning in early September to put out the first *draft* of the lists, with the first version coming out in October. Unlike the March collection in past years, where the list was static once the window opened, because this window will open so much sooner with so much data still in motion, the list will change during the window, especially during the initial window. We will have more communication and direction on that so that people can understand what the changes are and be able to easily track if there is a student they need to add or a student who has dropped off of their follow-up list for some reason. Work is progressing, and there will be more to come, especially as we get into late August and September.

Q: I'm thinking about Retention reporting opening and all of our EMIS IDs with 9 digits. We have a very short window to get those updated, correct? Do we have to have them all updated before we submit anything for FY23?

A: I wouldn't say it is before you submit *anything* for FY23 because as you submit each time the crosswalk gets updated for that year. So, it's more about waiting until the prior year data is done so you don't mess up the FY22 crosswalk (which is set by the "S" Enrollment and SCR collections). Once those are closed, the crosswalk is by the year, so everything else you finish up for FY22 should use that old crosswalk (e.g., you don't want to update any of your FY22 course data with the new ID). I'm not sure if your SIS keeps track of those local ID's be year or not. Once you are all the way done with FY22, the crosswalk will be updated once you do your first submission for FY23; just don't try to use an FY23 ID with FY22 data you are still submitting.

Q: Is there a way you can tell us when we are safe to do this?

A: We can look at that. In "G" reporting, I'm 99% sure that you give us the SSID and not the EMIS ID for graduates. Yes, on the FN record you give us the yearend SSID, we don't use the crosswalk.

Q: If districts are ok with getting the SSID on their reports, do they need to go through this change of local EMIS ID?

A: At this point, this is the only thing we're using it for. However, if a district is using Social Security Number (SSN) as their local EMIS ID, they are opening themselves to a potential data security nightmare. If a district is using SSN as their local EMIS ID, their reports have local EMIS ID on them with student names, so they are playing with fire in terms of having compromised student information. If student names get out with their SSID, that is one thing that only exists in the education realm. But if you get their SSN out there with their name, that is a whole different ballgame. If they have a 9-digit number that is not their SSN and districts don't care that they get the SSID on their Progress Towards Grad reports instead of their local EMIS ID, then they don't have to change anything.

Q: If they have the alpha numeric EMIS ID it is not an issue?

A: That is not an issue at all.

Q: So, they will still get Progress towards Grad reports back, even if the local EMIS ID is 9 digits?

A: Yes, it will have their SSID on that report and not their local EMIS ID.

Q: A district name was incorrect in the DISBLD file, but we were told that was going away sometime in spring/summer.   Is there any new development on that new process?

A: DISBLD is probably going away in December, or it could be a little bit before then. We've moved the school funding off the VMS system at ODE, so there's just some cleanup work and that system will get shut down. In terms of an incorrect school name, that typically means they have bad data in OEDS for that school. There are multiple names that you don't always see for a district or building in OEDS unless you drill down (there is a 'short name' and a 'full name'). 9 times out of 10, the file created via reverse ETL (extract, transform and load) to pull it out of OEDS and into the district file, there is an issue with the name that they can fix by going into OEDS and updating the 'short name'.   There are 2-3 places in OEDS where the name is listed, so they need to update it in all places. There is an OEDS web service that can be accessed to get a list of valid IRNs.

Upcoming Calls

July change call has been cancelled

Next ODE ITC Conference Call – July 25